Summary of Contents

page

PrefaceXI
Chapter 1. Scope of Application, Definitions and Relations to Other Instruments (Articles 1, 2 and 59-61)
Prof. Mirela Župan (University of Osijek, Croatia)
Text of Articles 1, 2. – Relevant decisions of the CJEU. – Relevant decisions of the ECtHR. – 1. Setting the scene – Brussels IIa Regulation in the area of judicial cooperation in family matters. – 1.1. European judicial cooperation in family matters (<i>stricto sensu</i>). – 1.2. Interplay between the EU and other actors on the internationa scene. – 2. Scope and definitions. – 2.1. Scope of application: 'matrimonial matters' – 2.2. Scope of application: 'parental responsibility'. – 2.3. Scope of application – preliminary questions on the status of the child. – 2.4. Personal, temporal and geo graphical scope of application. – 3. Autonomous definitions. – Text of Articles 59 62. – 4. Relation to other instruments
Chapter 2. Jurisdiction in Matrimonial Matters (Article 3, 6, 7)
Prof. Carola Ricci (University of Pavia, Italy)
Text of Articles 3-7. – Relevant decisions of the CJEU. – 1. General frame. – 2. Exclusive nature of multiple alternative grounds of jurisdiction and 'forum shopping'. – 3 Single grounds of jurisdiction under Article 3(1). – 3.1. Grounds of jurisdiction based on habitual residence. – 3.1.a. Characterization of habitual residence. – 3.1.b. Fact weighing and relevance of the intention in complex cases. – 3.1.c. Voluntary manipulation or omission of factual elements by the parties. – 3.2. Grounds of jurisdiction based on common nationality. – 4. Ancillary grounds of jurisdiction under Articles 4 and 5. – 5. Relation between grounds of jurisdiction within the Brussels IIa Regulation system and residual national grounds

Chapter 3. Jurisdiction in Parental Responsibility Matters

(Articles 8, 9 and 13, 14)

Dr. Agnė Limantė (Law Institute of Lithuania, Lithuania) Prof. Ivana Kunda (University of Rijeka, Croatia)

Chapter 4. Jurisdiction in Child Abduction Proceedings (Articles 10, 11)

Prof. Costanza Honorati (University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy) *Dr. Agnė Limantė* (Law Institute of Lithuania, Lithuania)

1. The general frame of abduction proceedings: the 1980 Hague Convention on Child Abduction and its relation to the Brussels IIa Regulation. – 2. The definition of abduction: removing to, or retaining in, a different State. - 2.1. Removal from/non-return to the State of habitual residence. – 2.2. Notion of custody rights. – 2.2.a. 'Rights of custody conferred by the national applicable law'. - 2.2.b. Unmarried fathers/grandparents without parental responsibility and 'inchoate' custody rights?. -2.3. Requirement that rights of custody are actually exercised. – Text of Article 10. – 3. The general rule in child abduction cases: no transfer of jurisdiction. – 3.1. Exceptions to the general rule: transfer of jurisdiction. - Text of Article 11. - Relevant decisions of the CJEU. - Relevant decisions of the ECtHR. - 4. General frame for return proceedings. - 4.1. Duties of the State of refuge: the issue of return. -4.1.a. Duty to act expeditiously. -4.1.b. Hearing of the child and of the left-behind parent. -4.2. Exceptions for return. -4.2.a. No exercise of custody rights. - 4.2.b. Consent and acquiescence. - 4.2.c. Grave risk exception. – 4.2.d. No refusal of return if 'adequate arrangements' have been provided. – 4.2.e. Objections of the child. – 4.2.f. Request for return lodged after more than one year since the removal. – 4.2.g. Contrast with fundamental principles of the requested State (Article 20 of the 1980 Hague Convention on Child Abduction). – 4.3. Interpretation of the 'best interests of the child' in the light of return proceedings. – 5. Duties of the State of habitual residence: Article 11(6)-(8) and the 'overriding mechanism'. – 5.1. Refusal to return grounded on Article 13 of the 1980 Hague Convention on Child Abduction. - 5.2. Immediate transmission of the decision to the State of habitual residence. -5.3. The proceedings in the State of habitual residence. -5.4. The scope of competence of the court of habitual residence. – 5.5. Special issues in assessing custody rights in Article 11(7) proceed-

Chapter 5. Hearing of the Child (Articles 11(2), 2341(2)(c), 42(2)(a))

Prof. Benedetta Ubertazzi (University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy)

Chapter 6. Prorogation of Jurisdiction (Article 12)

Prof. Cristina Gonzalez Beilfuss (University of Barcelona, Spain)

Text of Article 12. – Relevant decisions of the CJEU. – 1. Rationale of the provision and general frame. – 2. Substantial requirements for prorogation. – 2.1. The courts of a Member State exercising jurisdiction on an application for divorce, legal separation or marriage annulment. – 2.2. The courts of a Member State with a substantial connection to the child. – 3. The procedural steps. – 3.1. Express or otherwise unequivocal acceptance. – 3.2. Scrutiny by the chosen court: the child's best interests. – 4. Effects and duration of the prorogation agreement. – 5. Jurisdiction agreements concerning children who are habitually resident in a third State; relationship with the 1996 Hague Convention on Protection of Children.

Chapter 7. Transfer of Proceedings (Article 15)

Prof. Costanza Honorati (University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy) Dr. Agnė Limantė (Law Institute of Lithuania, Lithuania)

Chapter 8. Lis Pendens (Articles 16, 19)

Prof. Ivana Kunda (University of Rijeka, Croatia) *Dr. Danijela Vrbljanac* (University of Rijeka, Croatia)

Chapter 9. Provisional and Protective Measures (Article 20)

Prof. Ivana Kunda (University of Rijeka, Croatia) Dr. Danijela Vrbljanac (University of Rijeka, Croatia)

Chapter 10. Cooperation between Central Authorities

(Articles 53-55)

Prof. Mirela Župan (University of Osijek, Croatia)

Chapter 11. Cross-Border Child Placement (Article 56)

Marta Tarragona Fenosa (University of Barcelona, Spain)

Text of Article 56. – Relevant decisions of the CJEU. – 1. Cross-border placement: a measure for the protection of the child. Irrelevance of the nature of placement. – 1.1. The cross-border placement as a parental responsibility measure in civil law matters. – 1.2. Main features of the cross-border placement. – 2. The reasons for a cross-border placement. – 3. Different nature of procedures. – 3.1. Procedures where domestic child placement rules require the intervention of a public authority: Article 56(1)-(3). – 3.2. Procedures where domestic child placement rules do not require the intervention of a public authority: Article 56(4). – 4. Provisional measures in cross-border child placements. – 5. Recognition and enforcement of cross-border child placement orders. – 6. Practical problems faced when applying Article 56 and national practices. — 293

D:L:: L	212
Kiniingranny	414